

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

5 * * *

6 JOHN HEBBRING,

Case No. 3:20-cv-00171-MMD-CLB

7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

ORDER

9 GALDAMEZ TRUCKING, *et al.*,

10 Defendants.

11
12 This case comes before the Court through Defendants Galdamez Trucking and
13 Edwin Galdamez's petition for removal. (ECF No. 1.) The Court previously directed
14 Defendants to show cause as to why this action should not be remanded for lack of
15 subject matter jurisdiction because it was unclear the amount in controversy requirement
16 was satisfied (the "OSC"). (ECF No. 4.) Defendants responded to the OSC with, in
17 pertinent part, a declaration from Defendants' counsel stating that Plaintiff's last
18 settlement demand was \$900,000. (ECF No. 11 at 2.) The Court finds Defendants'
19 response to the OSC sufficient.

20 DATED THIS 6th day of April 2020.

21
22 

23 MIRANDA M. DU
24 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
25
26
27
28